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## Introduction

The scope of this paper is to make available the design calculations and the spreadsheet program for which now carries out the long-winded and repetitive process to design the hyperbolic sub reflector for manufacturing, the W2IMU feed horn used and how the implementation of quick change bands on my portable set up.

After using flat plate type reflectors on both portable systems on 24 GHz 47 GHz band to good effect the decision was made to incorporate both of these bands into one box during a major rebuild over the winter months. The decision also included using one dish with interchangeable bands contained within a quick-change system eventually ending up with $5.7,10,24 \& 47 \mathrm{GHz}$ as a possible combination on a portable expedition.

The decision to move away from the flat plate type reflectors was made by the small improvement in system gain but more importantly I wanted to use a W2IMU dual mode feedhorn using circular waveguide to feed the new dish which has a F/D ratio of 0.38 .
Looking at the reference books the optimum F/D for the dual mode feedhorn is 0.8 but can be used on parabolic dishes with F/D ratios as low as 0.5 . The use of a cassegrain system and in particular the ability to slightly change values in the calculation you can end up modifying the F/D ratio of the virtual parabolic dish to suit what F/D you require for your feedhorn as in my particular case from 0.38 if I used a flat plate type reflector the virtual dish would appear to be 0.38 and not suit the feedhorn type that I wanted to use.
For details of the 24 GHz and the 47 GHz W2IMU dual mode feed horn see figure 8 .

The original flat plate reflector used on 24 GHz is shown in figure 1 it was constructed from doublesided PCB material and brazing rods for the supports from the WG20 dish feed.


## Figure 1

A similar approach was used on my original 47 GHz transverter using a 60 mm circular disc reflector and brazing rod supports but with a circular collar on the round 4 mm tube see figure 2


Figure 2

Discussions with Dr Dennis Hawkins of Qpar Angus [1] at the end of one of the UK Microwave Round Tables during 1999 resulted in the exchange of correspondence on the subject of cassegrain feeds. Armed with this information and calculations from a paper [2] regarding Microwave antennas derived from the cassegrain telescope we started to lay out in AutoCAD the profile from the main dish that was going to be used and by using geometry we arrived at a shape for the reflector. This profile from the CAD system was sent to Dr Dennis Hawkins who compared with his commercial antenna software what we had laid out and confirmed this to be ok. It was decided to go ahead with the manufacture. I found a machine shop that was willing to make the component for me using CNC technology and they had the ability to take the CAD profile of the sub reflector in to his computer system to generate his NC code automatically. The end result is shown in figure 3.


## Figure 3

Above figure shows the 100 mm -diameter sub reflector and support boss that is used on my new portable system.


Figure 4
Dm = EFFECTIVE DIAMETER OF CIRCULAR MAIN DISH (TO EDGE RAYS).
Ds = EFFECTIVE DIAMETER OF CIRCULAR SUB DISH (TO EDGE RAYS).
Fm = FOCAL LENGTH OF MAIN DISH.
$\mathrm{Fc}=$ DISTANCE BETWEEN FOCI OF SUB DISH.
$\mathrm{Fe}=$ EQUIVALENT FOCAL LENGTH OF CASSEGRAIN SYSTEM.
Lv = DISTANCE FROM VIRTUAL FOCUS (OR MAIN DISH FOCUS) TO SUB DISH.
$\mathrm{Lr}=$ DISTANCE FROM REAL FOCUS (OR FEED) TO SUB DISH.
$\phi v=$ ANGLE BETWEEN AXIS AND EDGE RAY, AT VIRTUAL FOCUS.
$\phi r=A N G L E ~ B E T W E E N ~ A X I S ~ A N D ~ E D G E ~ R A Y, ~ A T ~ R E A L ~ F O C U S . ~$
E = ECCENTRICITY OF CONIC SECTION.
A = TRANSVERSE HALF-AXIS OF CONIC SECTION.
B = CONJUGATE HALF-AXIS OF CONIC SECTION.
Xm = AXIAL CO-ORDINATES OF MAIN DISH.
Ym = RADIAL CO-ORDINATES OF MAIN DISH.
Xs = AXIAL CO-ORDINATES OF SUB DISH.
Ym = RADIAL CO-ORDINATES OF SUB DISH.
Xe = AXIAL CO-ORDINATES OF VIRTUAL DISH.
Ye $=$ RADIAL CO-ORDINATES OF VIRTUAL DISH.

## Manual Calculation Method

Below is a worked example based on the following data:
Dm $=935 \mathrm{~mm}$
MAIN DISH DEPTH $=152 \mathrm{~mm}$
Ds $=100 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{Fc}=94 \mathrm{~mm}$

## CALCULATING F/D RATIO

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { F/D RATIO } & =\mathrm{Dm} /(16 \times \text { DISH DEPTH }) \\
& =935 /(16 \times 152) \\
& =935 / 2432 \\
& =0.3845
\end{aligned}
$$

## CALCULATING MAIN DISH FOCAL LENGTH

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fm} & =\mathrm{Dm} \times \text { F/D RATIO } \\
& =935 \times 0.3845 \\
& =359.5 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CALCULATING ANGLE $\phi \mathbf{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi \mathrm{v} & =\text { INV TAN }[(\mathrm{Dm} \div 2) \div(\mathrm{Fm}-\text { DISH DEPTH })] \\
& =\text { INV TAN }[(935 \div 2) \div(359.5-152)] \\
& =\text { INV TAN }[(467.5) \div(207.5)] \\
& =66.0659^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CALCULATING ANGLE $\phi$ r

```
\((1 \div\) TAN \(\phi \mathrm{v})+(1 \div \mathrm{TAN} \phi \mathrm{r})=2(\mathrm{Fc} \div \mathrm{Ds})\)
\(\left(1 \div\right.\) TAN \(\left.66.0659^{\circ}\right)+(1 \div\) TAN \(\phi\) r \()=2(94 \div 100)\)
\(0.4439+(1 \div\) TAN \(\phi r)=2(0.94)\)
\((1 \div\) TAN \(\phi\) r \()=1.88-0.4439\)
\(\phi r=\operatorname{INV}\) TAN \((1 \div 1.4361)\)
\(\phi r=34.85^{\circ}\)
```


## CALCULATING DISTANCE Lv

$1-[\operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(\phi \mathrm{v}-\phi r) \div \operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(\phi \mathrm{v}+\phi \mathrm{r})]=2(\mathrm{Lv} \div \mathrm{Fc})$
$1-[\operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(66.0659-34.85) \div \operatorname{SIN} 1 ⁄ 2(66.0659+34.85)]=2(\operatorname{Lv} \div 94)$
$1-(0.269 \div 0.771)=2(\mathrm{Lv} \div 94)$
$0.651=2(\mathrm{Lv} \div 94)$
$\mathrm{Lv}=(0.651 \div 2) \mathrm{x} 94$
$\mathrm{Lv}=30.597 \mathrm{~mm}$

## CALCULATING ECCENTRICITY 'E'

$\mathrm{E}=\operatorname{SIN}^{1 / 2}(\phi \mathrm{v}+\phi r) \div \operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(\phi \mathrm{v}-\phi r)$
$\mathrm{E}=\operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(66.0659+34.85) \div \operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(66.0659-34.85)$
$\mathrm{E}=\operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(100.9159) \div \operatorname{SIN} 1 / 2(31.2159)$
$\mathrm{E}=0.771 \div 0.269$
$\mathrm{E}=2.866$

## CALCULATING VALUE 'A’

$\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Fc} \div 2 \mathrm{E}$
$\mathrm{A}=94 \div 2 \times 2.866$
$\mathrm{A}=16.4$

## CALCULATING VALUE 'B'

$\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A} \sqrt{\mathrm{E}^{2}-1}$
$B=16.4 \sqrt{(2.866)^{2}-1}$
$B=16.4 \sqrt{ } 7.213956$
$B=16.4 \times 2.6859$
$B=44.05$

## CALCULATING CONTOUR OF MAIN DISH (PARABOLA)

$\mathrm{Xm}=\mathrm{Ym}^{2} \div 4(\mathrm{Fm})$
FOR Ym = 50
$\mathrm{Xm}=(50)^{2} \div 4$ (359.5)
$\mathrm{Xm}=(50)^{2} \div 1438$
$\mathrm{Xm}=2500 \div 1438$
$\mathrm{Xm}=0.017$
REPEAT FOR VARYING VALUES OF Ym TO CALCULATE CORRESPONDING VALUE OF Xm.
EG.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { FOR Ym } & =50 & & \mathrm{Xm}=1.739 \\
\mathrm{Ym} & =100 & & \mathrm{Xm}=6.954 \\
\mathrm{Ym} & =150 & & \mathrm{Xm}=15.647
\end{aligned} \quad \text { Etcetera }
$$

CALCULATING CONTOUR OF SUB DISH (HYPERBOLA)
$\mathrm{Xs}=\mathrm{A}\left[\sqrt{1+(\mathrm{Ys} \div \mathrm{B})^{2}}-1\right]$
FOR Ys $=5$

```
\(\mathrm{Xs}=16.4\left[\sqrt{1+(5 \div 44.05)^{2}}-1\right]\)
\(\mathrm{Xs}=16.4[\sqrt{1+0.01288}-1]\)
\(\mathrm{Xs}=16.4(0.006419)\)
\(\mathrm{Xs}=0.105\)
```


## REPEAT FOR VARYING VALUES OF Ys TO CALCULATE CORRESPONDING VALUES Xs.

EG. FOR Ys $=5 \quad \mathrm{Xs}=0.105$
$\mathrm{Ys}=10 \quad \mathrm{Xs}=0.417$
$\mathrm{Ys}=15 \quad \mathrm{Xs}=0.925 \quad$ Etcetera
CALCULATING EQUIVALENT FOCAL LENGTH Fe
$\mathrm{Fe} \div \mathrm{Fm}=(\mathrm{E}+1) \div(\mathrm{E}-1)$
$\mathrm{Fe} \div 359.5=(2.866+1) \div(2.866-1)$
$\mathrm{Fe} \div 359.5=3.866 \div 1.866$
$\mathrm{Fe} \div 359.5=2.072$
$\mathrm{Fe}=2.072 \times 359.5$
$\mathrm{Fe}=744.88$

## CALCULATING CONTOUR OF VIRTUAL DISH (PARABOLA)

```
\(\mathrm{Xe}=\mathrm{Ye}^{2} \div 4 \mathrm{Fe}\)
FOR Ye = 50
\(\mathrm{Xe}=(50)^{2} \div 4(744.88)\)
\(\mathrm{Xe}=2500 \div 2979.52\)
\(\mathrm{Xe}=0.839\)
```


## REPEAT FOR VARYING VALUES Ye TO CALCULATE CORRESPONDING VALUES OF Xe.

EG. | FOR $\mathrm{Ye}=50$ | $\mathrm{Xe}=0.839$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Ye}=100$ | $\mathrm{Xe}=3.356$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ye}=150$ | $\mathrm{Xe}=7.552 \quad$ Etcetera |  |

After going through the above set of calculations a series of X, Y points are obtained, with this the shape of the sub reflector could be laid out onto paper to produce a template. This could then be used to check profile accuracy if manually turning the reflector in a lathe.

After searching the Internet for any programs to calculate the reflectors profile nothing could be found and also not everybody has access to a CAD workstation on their desk so the decision was made to make the calculations available to other amateurs by writing a simple Microsoft Excel spreadsheet to do this task.

Figure 5 shows the layout of the Excel screen and user data input is on the left hand side moving to the right the data tables for the sub reflector, actual dish parabola and virtual dish parabola profiles are given. The dish and virtual dish profiles tables are given to allow the user to draw out the whole scheme if required. I am currently writing an AutoCAD Lisp program to take this data and draw a 1:1 layout within the CAD system.

The Excel program is available to download from [3] but we are getting close to the limits of what we as a group are able to do within Excel. Please feel free to play with the routine and comment.


Figure 5

Figure 6 shows the completed portable transverter in use. With using such a large dish initial alignment when arriving onto the portable site is done by fitting into the sub reflector boss on the front of the dish a rifle site that looks back though a hole where the feed fits and by optically aligning the dish onto a visible landmark ( 180 degrees out) then working out the beam heading to this point and altering the compass on the neck of the tripod to suit. After an initial contact refinement to the compass can be made.


Figure 6

After working somebody with the 24 GHz transverter the head unit can be replaced with the 47 GHz transverter whilst still maintaining the correct beam heading by the following method

I start with standard type die-cast boxes for the equipment and a three-point female conical mounting on the lid of every box that is to be used. This is done before any equipment is installed \& the position of the waveguide feed is marked using a laser pen innards mounted inside a machined cylinder that is slid into position where the sub reflector is located. The laser light beam projects through a very small hole in the cylinder and marking of the box entry are quite straightforward. Mounted on the metal framework that supports the dish is three male conical points that allow the boxes to be repeatedly positioned with good accuracy.

Figure 7 shows the $24 / 47 \mathrm{GHz}$ transverters mounted on the metal frame that holds the dish onto the tripod.


Figure 7


| BAND | DIMENSION A | DIMENSION B | DIMENSION C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 GHz | 8.73 | 23.06 | 46.50 |
| 47 GHz | 4.0 | 11.81 | 23.81 |

## W2IMU Dual Mode feedhorns for 24 GHz and $\mathbf{4 7 G H z}$

Dimension A in the table above shows the inside diameter of K\&S modelmakers brass tubing that is being used for circular waveguide. For 24 GHz K\&S Number 135 and for 47 GHz K\&S Number 129. Figure 8 shows the completed W2IMU dual mode feed horns.


Figure 8

## Conclusion

After initial outings with the system I feel it was worth the effort to go to the trouble of researching and wading through the calculations. The ability to change the parabolic dish F/D ratio to suit a particular type of feed horn in my circumstance will only be proven in time but at the moment the 24 GHz system is not yet near its path potential and the 47 GHz has worked 140 Km without much problem.

As seen the calculations for the sub reflector are long but with the use of the spreadsheet it was easy to nudge figures one way then the other to see the effects of what if.
The spreadsheet is available to anybody to use and I welcome comments and improvements to it
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